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The refractive indices $n^{\prime}$ and $n^{\prime \prime}$ of the eigenwaves travelling along a birefringent medium in the direction of $s$ (Fig.) are usually computed from the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{N-N_{x}^{2}}+\frac{s_{y}^{2}}{N^{2}-N_{y}^{2}}+\frac{s_{z}^{2}}{N^{2}-N_{z}^{2}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $s_{x}, s_{y}, s_{z}$ - the projections of the versor $s$ onto the $x, y, z$ axes of the Cartesian coordinate system,
$N_{x}, N_{y}, N_{z}$ - the reciprocals of $n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}$, respectively,
$N$ - unknown magnitude of Eq. (1) having two values of $N^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime \prime}$ being, in turn, respective reciprocals of $n^{\prime}$ and $n^{\prime \prime}$.
For the uniaxial media the formula (1) takes a simpler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{2}=N_{e}^{2} \sin ^{2} \vartheta+N_{o}^{2} \cos ^{2} \vartheta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $N_{e}$ and $N_{o}$ - are reciprocals of $n_{e}$ and $n_{o}$, while
$n_{e}$ - refractive index of the extraordinary wave,
$n_{0}$ - refractive index of the ordinary wave,
$\vartheta$ - angle between the versor $s$ and the optical axis.
From the Fresnel equation an accurate calculation procedure for the difference of the refractive indices $n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}$ of the eigenwaves [1] may be derived.

In the literature, the approximate formula is known allowing us to calculate in an easy way the birefringence $n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}$ of the medium in the direction of the versor $s$ defined by the angles $\vartheta_{1}$ and $\vartheta_{2}$ (Fig.) which are created by the versor $s$ and the binormal optical axes $B n_{1}$ and $B n_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}=\left(n_{z}-n_{x}\right) \sin \vartheta_{1} \sin \vartheta_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the uniaxial media, the form of this formula becomes even simpler, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}=\left(n_{o}-n_{e}\right) \sin ^{2} \vartheta \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivations of formulae (3) and (4) are given in [2]. These formulae are especially useful when making preliminary calculations with the help of a calculator. However, I felt always uneasy about the degree of approximations of the results


The ellipsoid of normals. $B n_{1}$ and $B n_{2}$ - binormal optical axes, $n_{x} n_{p} n_{z}$ - main refractive indices of the birefringent medium, $s$ - versor of the direction of the eigenwaves, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ - polar coordinates of the versor $\&, \vartheta_{1}$ and $\vartheta_{2}$ - angles between the versor $s$ and the optical axes $B n_{1}$ and $B n_{2}$
obtained from formulae (3) and (4) as related to the real values obtained directly from the Fresnel formulae. In order to explain the due doubts, I calculated $n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}$ for several cross-sections of this ellipsoid of normals (Fig.) using both methods and compared the results obtained. The direction of the versor $\mathbf{s}$ was determined by the polar coordinates $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (Fig.).

Uniaxial media:
Angle $\vartheta 5^{\circ}$ relative error $\Delta\left(n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}\right) / n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}$ is about $100 \times\left(n_{o}-n_{e}\right) \%$ calcite $17 \%$

| $30^{\circ}$ | $75 \times\left(n_{o}-n_{e}\right) \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $45^{\circ}$ | $50 \times\left(n_{0}-n_{e}\right) \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $90^{\circ}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

An example for calcite refers to the wavelength of 650 nm .

## Biaxial media:

In the biaxial media, the errors cannot be described as simply as for the uniaxial ones. Their values and the distribution depend not only on the difference of the external refractive indices $n_{x}$ and $n_{z}$ but also on the value of the intermediate refractive index $n_{y}$. For the drastic value of the birefringence $n_{x}=1.5, n_{y}=1.7$, $n_{z}=1.8$ in the $z, y$ plane, the errors $\Delta\left(n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}\right) / n^{\prime}-n^{\prime \prime}$ ranged from $0 \%$ for the wave travelling along the $y$ axis up to about $11 \%$ for the wave travelling along the $z$ axis. In the section $z x$, the corresponding values are $11 \%$ for the wave travelling along the $z$ axis to about $30 \%$ for the wave travelling along the $x$ axis. The minimum (3\%) appeared in the vicinity of $\beta=45^{\circ}$, while the maximum ( $70 \%$ ), when the wave travelled very close to the optical axis ( $\alpha$ and $\beta$ - polar coordinates of the versor s, see Fig.) For the oblique cross-section from ( $\alpha=0^{\circ}, \beta=0^{\circ}$ ) to ( $\alpha=90^{\circ}, \beta=45^{\circ}$ ) the
values of the error in the extreme positions of the versor $s$ amounted to about $10 \%$, reading the minimum ( $0.4 \%$ ) for $\alpha=40^{\circ}$.

Thus, the errors of the appropriate calculations may be significant and, what is interesting, the greatest, when the light travels in the close vicinity of the optical axis.
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